

RADIOIODINE COLLECTION FILTER EFFICIENCY TESTING PROGRAM

at

F&J SPECIALTY PRODUCTS, INC.

by

FRANK M. GAVILA

12th Annual RETS/REMP Workshop

Atlantic City, New Jersey 24-26 June 2002

Rev: 05 May 2017

Table of Contents

		1 450
I.	Radioiodine Collection Cartridge Efficiency Testing Program	1 - 2
	A. Typical Geometries	
	B. Typical Adsorbents utilized in Cartridges	
	C. Product Specifications	
II.	Standardized Testing	3-5
-	A. ASTM D 3803, 1989	
	B. Sampling Scenarios	
	C. Analysis of Test Data	
III.	Factors Affecting Efficiencies of Radioiodine Collection Cartridges	6
IV.	F&J Quality Assurance and Quality Control Program	7

Appendices

- A. **Filter Dimension Diagrams**
- B. **Particle Size Selector Chart**
- С. **Typical Product Specifications**
- Typical Equations for Efficiency vs. Flow Rate D.
- E. **Typical Pressure vs. Flow Rate Data**
- F. **Typical Quality Control Data Collected Regularly During Production**
- **Typical Defect Analysis Data Collection Sheet** G. Graph A-1 and A-2 Graph B-1 and B-1

Graph C-1

Ρασε

I. Radioiodine Collection Cartridges Efficiency Testing Program

The key elements of the F&J quality assurance program for the manufacture of TEDA impregnated charcoal and silver zeolite cartridges utilized in the collection of airborne radioactive iodine species in power plant atmospheres include the following:

- 1. Testing is performed on every batch of adsorbent material
- 2. Testing is performed at different flow rates in order to have representative data across the flow range utilized by power plant personnel.
- 3. Integration of new batch data from individual batches into data of all previous batches.
- 4. Development of data for different sampling scenarios
- 5. Determination of "Pressure Drop" data vs. flowrate for each type of adsorbent material.
- 6. Creation of graphical representation of the collection efficiency vs. flow data and determination of mathematical equations that represent the efficiency vs. flow relationship.

Eighty percent (80%) of testing is performed on the filter geometries that represent 80% of the production quantity.

Radioiodine Collection Cartridges are available in various geometries, contain various adsorbents, and the specific adsorbents are available in various mesh sizes. Typical cartridge geometries available from F&J are listed in Table I below:

TABLE IRADIOIODINE COLLECTION FILTER GEOMETRIES

<u>Nominal Dimensions</u>	<u>Material</u>	<u>F&J Geometry</u>
2 ¼"D ×1" H	Plastic Cased	C, CS and CSM Series
2 ¼"D ×1" H	Plastic Cased	B Series
2 ¼"D ×1" H	Metal Cased	M Series
2 ¼"D ×1.5" H	Metal Cased	M.5 Series (WGRM Monitor)
3.43"D × 1.23"H	Plastic Cased	3X1 Series
3.2"D × 2.2"H	Plastic Cased	3X2 Series
1.63"D × 0.76"H	Plastic Cased	Lapel Filter Series
1.24"D × 1.11"H	Plastic Cased	744/844 Series (Victoreen Monitor)

Refer to the filter dimension diagrams in Appendix A.

Typical adsorbents that may be utilized in the various geometries listed in Table I above are listed in Table II below:

TEDA Impregnated Carbon (5% by Wt. TEDA)		Silver Zeolite (37% by Wt. AG.)
	U.S. Sieve	U.S. Sieve
TEDA-1	8×16	16×40
TEDA-2	30×50	30×50
TEDA-3	20×40	50×80
TEDA-4	12×20	

TABLE IITYPICAL ADSORBENTS AND MESH SIZES AVAILABLE

Refer to Appendix B for a graphical representation of particle sizes.

Product Specifications

Each Radioiodine Collection Cartridge manufactured by F&J has detailed specifications indicating physical and performance specifications for the product. A typical set of specifications for a TEDA impregnated charcoal cartridge and a silver zeolite cartridge is presented in Appendix C.

II. Standardized Testing

Utilization of these filters (or any other filters) requires determination of an efficiency value for the physical conditions typically experienced during field sampling operations. Physical parameters that are of importance with respect to radioiodine collection filter cartridges are provided in Table III below:

TABLE III

Key Parameters of Radioiodine Collection Filter Cartridge Efficiencies

- 1) Adsorbent bed thickness
- 2) Flow rate of pollutant stream passing through filter
- 3) Temperature of pollutant stream
- 4) Specific pollutant species
- 5) Relative humidity of pollutant stream
- 6) Type of adsorbent
- 7) Mesh size of adsorbent in filter
- 8) Sample duration
- 9) Bed compaction

Nuclear industry standards, which are applicable to the testing of nuclear grade gas phase adsorbents for radioiodine adsorption capabilities are contained in ASTM D 3803, 1989. These standard test procedures, as modified, have been utilized by F&J SPECIALTY PRODUCTS, INC. to establish the radioiodine collection cartridge efficiency performance criteria for filter cartridges manufactured by F&J. The standard ASTM D 3803, 1989 test conditions are listed in Table IV below.

TABLE IVASTM D 3803, 1989 PARAMETERS

1)	Pressure	1 atm
2)	Temperature	30°C
3)	Pre-equilibration Period	16 hours
4)	Equilibration Period	120 minutes
5)	$CH_{3}I$ concentration (I-131)	1.75 mg/m^3
6)	Loading Duration	60 minutes
7)	Post Sweep Period	60 minutes
8)	Bed Depth	2"
9)	Velocity of Gas Stream	11.6 to 12.8 m/min.
10)	Relative Humidity	95%

F&J modifies ASTM D 3803, 1989 for its radioiodine cartridge-testing program in the following manner:

- 1) The 2" bed depth is modified to the bed depth of the specific filter cartridge geometry
- 2) Variable flow rates are utilized to determine the relationship of radioiodine collection efficiency vs. flow rate for each specific filter cartridge manufactured by F&J.
- 3) Various sample durations were utilized to represent typical field sampling scenarios of short-term grab sampling, daily sampling and weekly sampling.

4) F&J also measures the pressure drop at the test flow rate and develops curves and equations which illustrate the relationship of differential pressure as a function of flow rate for different adsorbent media.

F&J's test conditions for its various sampling scenarios are listed in Table V below:

PARAMETERS	SHORT-TERM	INTERMEDIATE-TERM	LONG-TERM
Pre-equilibration period (hrs.)	None	16	16
Equilibration period (hrs.)	None	2	2
Loading duration (hrs.)	1	1	1
Post sweep duration (hrs.)	1	1	168
CH_3I concentration (mg/m ³)	1.75	1.75	1.75
Pressure (atm)	1	1	1
Bed depth	Actual filter	Actual filter	Actual filter
Flow rate	~14 to 198 LPM	~14 to 198 LPM	~14 to 198 LPM
Temperature (°C)	30	30	30
Relative Humidity (%)	90-95	95	95

TABLE VTEST CONDITIONS FOR F&J SAMPLING SCENARIOS

NOTE: Actual filter cartridges, randomly selected from stock, that are offered for sale to customers were utilized for these tests in lieu of only testing the adsorption media in a standardized fixture having a bed depth of 2".

C. Analysis of Testing Data

The F&J program began in 1984; thus data has been accumulated for many batches of adsorbent materials.

F&J determines equations that represents the data of collection efficiency vs. flow rate and has available graphical curves and equations for CFM and LPM flow rate values.

Typical equations for TEDA impregnated charcoal and silver zeolite cartridges are presented in Appendix D. These equations for efficiency vs. flowrate curves are generally quadratic equations. They represent for the most recent multiple batch equations in CFM and LPM units. These equations apply to only F&J products and cannot be utilized for products made by other companies.

The differential pressure vs. flow rate equations are also quadratic equations. Refer to Appendix E for a typical graphical representation of a pressure vs. flow rate curve for a TEDA impregnated charcoal filter.

III. Factors Affecting Efficiencies of Radioiodine Collection Cartridges

Several trends for efficiencies test data have been established with respect to radioiodine adsorption characteristics of radioiodine collection cartridges manufactured by F&J. These trends are listed in Table VI below:

TABLE VI

(A)	Species Impact
	Collection efficiency of I_2 is greater than the collection efficiency of $CH_3I(g)$
(B)	Temperature Impact
	Efficiency increases with an increase in temperature of the air flow
(C)	Relative Humidity Impact
	Efficiency decreases with an increase in relative humidity
(D)	Flow Rate Impact
	Efficiency decreases with increase in flow rate
(E)	Bed Depth Impact
	Efficiency increases with an increase in bed depth of the cartridge
(F)	Sample Duration Impact
	Efficiency generally decreases with an increase in sample duration

(G) Particle Size Impact
Efficiency increases with a decrease in particle size of the adsorbent

To illustrate the impact of several of the above parameters on collection efficiency, refer to the data illustrated in the following graphs.

Graphs A-1 and A-2 are plots of radioiodine collection efficiency vs. flow rates for four different mesh sizes of TEDA impregnated carbons and three different mesh sizes of silver zeolite in a similar filter cartridge geometry. As expected, the CH₃I efficiency decreases with increasing flowrate and the efficiency is greater for the smaller particle size material at any particular flow rate.

Graphs B-1 and B-2 are plots of radioiodine efficiency vs. flow rate for three different sampling scenarios utilizing a specific TEDA impregnated carbon and Silver Zeolite, respectively, in the same filter cartridge geometry.

Graph C-1 is a plot of radioiodine efficiency vs. flow rate for two different bed depths utilizing the same filter geometry with respect to area, adsorbent and mesh size. The greater bed depth has the greater efficiency, as expected. The TE3.5M cartridge (1.62" bed depth) and the TE3M cartridge (1.0: bed depth) are compared in this example.

IV. F&J Quality Assurance and Quality Control Program

F&J has merged its former 10CFR50 Appendix B and NQA-1, 1994 QA programs into the internationally recognized ISO 9001 Quality Management System. F&J also has implemented a quality process control analysis into its manufacturing operations. Key parameters that are monitored in this program are cartridge diameter, cartridge height and cartridge weight. Typical data collected on a daily basis is illustrated by Appendix G.

F&J subsequently evaluates the data to determine if product quality is within acceptable criteria and determines what action is required to continually improve the manufacturing process.

The Quality Process Control analysis also includes defect analysis. The types of rejects encountered in the manufacturing process are identified and quantitatively documented. From this analysis action is taken to implement methods to further reduce the defects by either (1) improving the compatibility of the components (2) improving operating/manufacturing procedures or (3) improving in the quality of the assembly personnel by implementing new training procedures and training sessions. Refer to Appendix G for an illustration of a typical data collection sheet for defect analysis.

The filter cartridge utilized in the General Atomics (Sorrento Electronics) Wide Range Gas Monitors are generally the model M geometry (2 $\frac{1}{2}$ "D ×1"H) and the M.5 geometry (2 $\frac{1}{2}$ "D × 1"H).

The WRGM radioiodine collection filters quality control monitoring includes the following:

- 1) 100% QC on diameter and height criteria
- 2) $\sim 3\%$ QC on weight criteria
- 3) 100% QC on visual inspection of key parameters
- 4) $\sim 10\%$ on leakage test
- 5) 100% QC on underfill/overfill inspection

F&J individually seals each filter cartridge in a 4 mil polybag identifying the model number, the mesh size, the batch number and shelf life expiration date. The color coded labels also indicate the mesh size of the TEDA impregnated carbon filters.

F&J's objective in its filter manufacturing process is to produce high quality filter cartridges, which have consistent and reproducible characteristics that are technically supported by good documentation detailing their radioiodine collection efficiencies vs. flow rate.

All of F&J's plastic cased TEDA impregnated charcoal filters are incineration approved by GTS Duratek and bear the incineration label on the filter.



F&J Filter Dimension Diagrams







Graphical Representation of Particle Sizes

Activated Carbon Particulate Selector

To determine approximate mesh size of an activated carbon sample, compare representative particles of the largest and smallest size to the printed solid circles. Mesh size is given in two numbers, e.g., "6x10." The first number is a mesh slightly larger than the largest representative particle, and the second is a mesh slightly smaller than the smallest particle. Normal manufacturing tolerances allow for a few non- representative particles in each sample.

STANDARD	MESH	OPE	NING	PARTICLE
Tyler	U.S.	mm.	inches	
4	4	4.70	0.185	
6	6	3.33	.131	•
8	8	2.36	.094	•
10	12	1.65	.065	•
12	14	1.40	.056	•
14	16	1.17	.047	•
16	18	0.991	.039	•
20	20	.833	.033	•
24	25	.701	.028	•
28	30	.589	.023	•
32	35	.495	.020	•
35	40	.417	.016	•
42	45	.351	.014	•
48	50	.295	.012	•
60	60	.246	.0097	•
80	80	.175	.0069	•
100	100	.147	.0058	
150	140	.104	.0041	
200	200	.074	0029	
250	230	.061	.0024	
325	325	.043	.0017	
400	400	.038	.0015	



Typical Specifications for TEDA Impregnated Charcoal and Silver Zeolite Cartridges

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS TEDA IMPREGNATED CHARCOAL FILTERS 2-1/4" D X 1" H F&J PRODUCT CODE: TE3C

CHARCOAL MESH SIZE:	20 X 40 Mesh
CHARCOAL TYPE:	Coconut Shell Carbon
TEDA IMPREGNATION:	5% By Weight
DIMENSIONS:	D = 2.26" +/- 0.01" H = 1.05" +/- 0.01"
CASING:	Plastic Cased
FILTER LABELING:	Color coded YELLOW to distinguish it from other material types and indicating flow direction.
PERFORMANCE TEST DATA:	% CH ₃ I vs. flow rate from 0.5 CFM to 10 CFM as per ASTM D 3803-1989.
QUALITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS:	ISO 9001-1994 QA PROGRAM
INDIVIDUAL FILTER PACKAGE:	Statistical process control program for diameter and height parameters. Sealed Individually in plastic bags with Model #, Batch # ID and mesh size. The
	expiration date is labeled on the bag.
INTERMEDIATE PACKAGING:	50 Filters/Box (6 lbs.)
EXTERIOR PACKAGING:	200 or 250 Filters/Case (24 or 30 lbs.)
INCINERATION APPROVAL:	Yes By: GTS Duratek Oak Ridge, TN

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS SILVER ZEOLITE 2-1/4" D X 1" H F&J PRODUCT CODE: AGZC35

ADSORBENT MESH SIZE:	30 X 50 Mesh
ADSORBENT TYPE:	Silver Zeolite
SILVER IMPREGNATION:	37% Ag By Weight
DIMENSIONS:	$D = 2.26'' \pm 0.01''$ H = 1.05'' ± 0.01''
CASING:	Plastic Cased
FILTER LABELING: material types and	Color coded BLUE to distinguish it from other indicating flow direction.
PERFORMANCE TEST DATA:	% CH ₃ I vs flow rate from 0.5 CFM to 5 CFM as per ASTM D3803-1989.
QUALITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS:	ISO 9001-1994 QA PROGRAM
	Statistical process control program for diameter and height parameters.
INDIVIDUAL FILTER PACKAGE:	Sealed Individually in plastic bags with Model #, Batch # ID and mesh size. The expiration date is labeled on the bag.
INTERMEDIATE PACKAGING:	50 Filters/Box (6 lbs.)
EXTERIOR PACKAGING:	200 or 250 Filters/Case (24 or 30 lbs.)
INCINERATION APPROVAL:	N/A



Typical Equations for Efficiency vs. Flow Rate for F&J Products

Equations for Methyl Iodide Collection Efficiency vs. Flowrate for

TEDA Impregnated Charcoal Cartridges and Silver Zeolite Cartridges Applicable to Series C, CS, CSM, B and M

Short-Term Sampling Scenario

Adsorbent Type

AGZ58	y = -0.372
TEDA-1	y = 0.384
TEDA-2	y = -0.47
TEDA-3	y = -0.12
TEDA-4	y = -1.062

X = CFM Equations	$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{F}$
$y = -0.3725x^2 + 0.8855x + 99.328$	$y = -0.0005 x^2$
$y = 0.3845x^2 - 7.1557x + 106.04$	$y = 0.0005 x^2$
$y = -0.4758x^2 + 0.8722x + 99.689$	$y = -0.0006x^2$
$y = -0.1253x^2 - 3.4068x + 101.52$	$y = -0.0002x^2$
$y = -1.06x^2 + 3.43x + 97.24$	$y = -0.0013x^2$

PM Equations

. I	
$= -0.0005x^2 + 0.0313x + 99.3$	28
$= 0.0005x^2 - 0.2529x + 106.0$)4
$= -0.0006x^2 + 0.0308 + 99.68$	9
$= -0.0002x^2 - 0.1188x + 101.$	54
$= -0.0013x^2 + 0.1212x + 97.2$	4

Intermediate-Term Sampling Scenario

Adsorbent Type	X = CFM Equations	X = LPM Equations
AGZ164 AGZ35 AGZ58 TEDA-1 TEDA-2 TEDA-3 TEDA-4	$y = 0.2946x^{2} - 7.2553x + 105.73$ $y = 0.0845x^{2} - 4.0033x + 103.36$ $y = 0.39x^{2} - 1.4622x + 101.06$ $y = 1.8549x^{2} - 20.107x + 107.86$ $y = 0.2646x^{2} - 0.3535x + 100.45$ $y = 0.0467x^{2} - 4.3026x + 104.13$ $y = 3.5938x^{2} - 26.102x + 110.58$	$y = 0.0004x^{2} - 0.2562x + 105.73$ $y = 0.0001x^{2} - 0.1414x + 103.36$ $y = -0.00007x^{2} - 0.018x + 100.36$ $y = 0.0023x^{2} - 0.7102x + 107.86$ $y = -0.0003x^{2} - 0.0125x + 100.45$ $y = 0.00006x^{2} - 0.1519x + 104.13$ $y = 0.0045x^{2} - 0.922x + 110.59$

Long-Term Sampling Scenario

Adsorbent Type	X = CFM Equations	X = LPM Equations
TEDA-1	$y = 2.295x^{2} - 20.365x + 103.33$	$y = 0.0029x^{2} - 0.7192x + 103.33$
TEDA-2	$y = -0.1414x^{2} - 0.3481x + 99.923$	$y = -0.0002x^{2} - 0.0123x + 99.923$
TEDA-3	$y = -0.4928x^{2} - 1.3921x + 100.91$	$y = -0.0006x^{2} - 0.0492x + 100.91$
TEDA-4	$y = -1.22x^{2} - 6.23x + 100.49$	$y = -0.0015x^{2} - 0.2211x + 100.52$



Typical Graphical Representation for Differential Pressure vs. Flow Rate





Typical Quality Control Data Collected Regularly During Production

F&J QA INSPECTION SHEET

	STI-2 STRUCTURAL TESTING IN	SPECTION FOR METAL FILTER	RS		
TIME 8:00 ALL E	ERBORS MUST BE LINED THROUG	TH, CORRECTED, INITIALED, AN F&J BATCH / LO	ND DATED T #: T-2524		
DATE: 01-10-0	DATE: $0/-10-02$ PRODUCT CODE TE3M				
CHECK MEASURING DEVIC	ES BEFORE AND AFTER MEASURIN	IG RANDOM SAMPLE OF PRODUC	CTION RUN		
STANDARD: 1.00000	IN. STANDARD: 4.(00000 IN. STANDA	ARD: 100.00 g		
STANDARD'S SERIAL #: 9320.	92 SERIAL #: 94	40171 STANDA	IAL #B		
MEASURED	O IN VALUE 4	· OOOO IN VAL	NED 100.00 .		
CALIPER'S SERIAL #:	017/2	SCALE'S SERIAL #:	SV-19025		
GEOMETRY CAN:	MI PL#IM65	112 LID: M	PL# IM689.44		
	HEIGHT (INCHES)	DIAMETER (INCHES)	WEIGHT (GRAMS)		
t	1.0185	2.5180	58.49		
2	1.0160	2.5170	58.72		
3	1.0155	2.5165	58.92		
4	1.0150	2.5150	58.52		
5	1.0125	2.5185	58.68		
6	1.0175	2.5170	58.23		
7	1.0130	2.5190	58.29 -		
8	1.0150	2.5170	59.04		
9	1.0165	2.5185	58.44		
10	1.0180	2.5160	58.83		
AVERAGE:	1. 0158	2.5173	58.62		
DESIGN RANGE:	1.01±.01	2.51 ±.01			
MAXIMUM:	1.0185	2.5190	59.04		
MINIMUM:	1.0125	2.5150	58.23		
RANGE:	0.0060	0.0040	0.81		
ACCEPTABLE (Y/N)) Y	Y	У		
L00000" CALIPER C MEASURED	HECK 100000" CAL MEASURED	IPER CHECK 100.0 MEASURE	DE WEIGHT SCALE CHECK		
DAILY RESULTS:	<u></u>	<u></u> TALO			
# PRODUCED: 517		# GOOD: 500			
# DEFECTIVE: //	ND DESCRIPTIONS	% DEFECTIVE:	2.91 %0		
UNDER FILLED:	OVERFILLED:	CRACKED LID: -O	2		
UNDERSIZE DIA:	OVERSIZE DIA:	OTHER: OUER	SIZE HEIGHTM		
COMPLETED BY (INITIALS):	Main Sanzas	DATE:0/	-10-02		
PRODUCTION SUPERVISOR	(INITIALS):_ ban	DATE: /-	10-02		

PQ001 Rev. 4 5/26/2000

• •

Page 1 of 1



Typical Defect Analysis Data Collection Sheet

F&I QA DEFECT DATA LOG <u>DEFECT</u> DATA LOG FOR METAL FILTERS

,

OTHE		Ø	0	6		
SCREEN TRAPPE D	8	\	R	φ		d
OVER SIZE HEIGH	5	4	3	2		
OVER SIZE DIA	ø	¢	φ	φ		3
OVER	þ	φ	φ	φ		0-0-0
UNDER		8	δ	\sim		-10-
LEAKAG	Ø	\$	φ	ϕ		
% DEFECTIVE	1.76%	1.38%	1.38	3.41%		
TOTAL OTV OF DEFECT	6	2	4	15		-
TOTAL QTV GOOD	500	500	500	500		
TOTAL QTV PRODUCE D	209	507	507	515		
ASSEMBLED ITEM P.N	1E 311	TE3H	TE3M	TE 3H		
F PAPER DIA. & THICK	151207	5123	6275/	1997		law 3 d
A NG	P. R.M.	REN	R.B.M.	P. P. M.		3
SCREEN	51213	97.2375	FL2375	F12375		RINITIAL
5 Z	Z.	W	I	X		ERVISO 000
N V G	M	IW	M	M		D BY D S \$126.2
0 1 > 0	z o z	+ -			- α - + O	

. .



A-1 Graphical Representation of CH₃I Collection Efficiency vs. Flow Rate for Four Different Mesh Sizes of TEDA Carbons

A-2 Graphical Representation of CH₃I Collection Efficiency vs. Flow Rate for Three Different Silver Zeolite Mesh Sizes







B-1 Graphical Representation of CH₃I Collection Efficiency vs. Flow Rate for Three Different Sampling Scenarios for TEDA Carbon

B-2

Graphical Representation of CH₃I Collection Efficiency vs. Flow Rate for Two Different Sampling Scenarios for Silver Zeolite







Graphical Representation of Radioiodine Collection Efficiency vs. Flow Rate for Two Different Bed Depths Utilizing the same Filter Geometry

